Indian Public Policy Review 2026, 7(3): 36-47
https://doi.org/10.55763/ippr.2026.07.03.003

IPPR

Nathu La Pass Border Trade: Informal Economies, State Restrictions, and the Case for Spontaneous Order for India and China

Dr Jaimine Vaishnav*

Abstract

The reopening of Nathu La Pass in 2006 marked a symbolic milestone in India-China relations, yet nearly two decades later, formal border trade through this historic route remains negligible relative to its projected potential. This paper examines the political economy of Nathu La border trade, using libertarian political economy as a primary analytical lens while situating that lens within the broader context of India-China security dynamics, diplomatic constraints, and state capacity. The central argument is that excessive regulatory restrictions, including outdated commodity lists, seasonal closures, and bureaucratic licensing requirements, have depressed formal trade volumes and encouraged informal exchange in their place. Drawing on the 2020-2024 closure and the 2025 resumption of trade, the paper documents how state-imposed barriers have constrained voluntary exchange and generated informal trading networks that reflect genuine demand patterns. The acknowledges the limits of available data on informal trade and interprets indirect evidence with care. The findings suggest that meaningful trade expansion requires regulatory reform, but reforms must be calibrated to security realities rather than treated as purely technical exercises.

Keywords: Nathu La Pass; India-China border trade; informal economy; libertarian political economy; spontaneous order; border security

JEL Codes: F13; P16; D73; L51

Publication Date: 02 August 2026

* Dr Jaimine Vaishnav is a faculty at ATLAS SkillTech University, Mumbai.

1. Introduction

Nathu La Pass, at 4,310 metres in the eastern Himalayas, has served as a connector between the Indian subcontinent and Tibet for centuries, functioning as part of the ancient Silk Road trade network. Closed after the 1962 Sino-Indian War, the pass was formally reopened in 2006 under a bilateral memorandum, with expectations of revitalising cross-border commerce. Nearly two decades on, those expectations remain largely unmet.

This paper asks a straightforward question: why has formal trade through Nathu La remained so limited, despite the pass's geographic importance and the diplomatic effort invested in reopening it? The answer it develops is that regulatory architecture matters as much as geography. Commodity restrictions, licensing burdens, seasonal constraints, and infrastructure gaps have together suppressed what the market might otherwise have organised more efficiently. At the same time, this paper recognises that the India-China border is not a typical trade corridor. It is a contested space, marked by unresolved territorial disputes, periodic military stand-offs, and deep mutual suspicion. Any analysis of trade policy must account for these realities.

The paper proceeds in four substantive sections. The first examines the geoeconomic context of Nathu La, including its strategic significance and the trade data available. The second analyses the political economy of state-imposed trade restrictions. The third examines informal economic activity as an adaptive response to formal constraints. The fourth presents the case for regulatory reform, while engaging with the security and diplomatic considerations that complicate such reform. A brief concluding section synthesises the findings and identifies priorities for further research.

Methodologically, this is an analytical paper drawing on published trade data, government policy documents, academic studies, and institutional reports. Direct data on informal trade is not available, and this paper relies on indirect evidence and secondary accounts for that dimension. Claims about informal activity are therefore treated as indicative rather than conclusive. The paper draws on libertarian political economy as its primary theoretical framework, which foregrounds voluntary exchange and spontaneous order, but it engages with alternative perspectives where they bear on the analysis.

2. The Geoeconomic Significance of Nathu La

2.1 Strategic Context

Nathu La Pass sits at the junction of India, China, and Bhutan, approximately 52 kilometres from Gangtok. It connects to the Chumbi Valley in Tibet's Yadong County and lies in proximity to the Siliguri Corridor, the narrow land link connecting northeastern India to the rest of the country. This geographic configuration gives the pass considerable strategic weight in India's security planning (Chettri 2018).

From India's perspective, any significant Chinese military presence in the Chumbi Valley raises concerns about the vulnerability of the Siliguri Corridor, sometimes called the Chicken's Neck, which narrows to roughly 22 kilometres at its tightest point. This concern was made concrete during the 2017 Doklam standoff, when infrastructure developments near the tri-junction triggered a prolonged military face-off. The 2020 Galwan Valley clashes extended the period of heightened tension and contributed directly to the closure of Nathu La trade.

These security realities are not peripheral to a discussion of trade policy. They explain why both governments have been reluctant to liberalise trade beyond narrowly-defined parameters, why commodity lists are conservative, and why the pass can be closed on short notice when diplomatic conditions deteriorate. Any realistic assessment of Nathu La's trade potential must take this security dimension seriously rather than treating it as an obstacle to be wished away.

2.2 Historic Trade Patterns

Before 1962, the Sikkim-Tibet frontier supported active cross-border commerce. Communities on both sides engaged in barter and monetised exchange, trading wool, salt, tea, animal hides, medicinal herbs, and everyday goods. This trade sustained livelihoods in an otherwise inhospitable environment and supported intergenerational kinship networks across the high passes. The 1962 closure severed these connections for nearly four decades (Chettri 2018).

The 2003 Memorandum of Understanding between India and China designated Nathu La as a prospective site for regulated trade, and the pass was formally reopened on 6 July 2006. The Nathu La Trade Study Group, chaired by economist Mahendra P. Lama, projected substantial growth: a conservative estimate anticipated cumulative trade reaching Rs 574 crore by 2020, while optimistic projections ran as high as Rs 12,203 crore by 2015.

2.3 Trade Performance Data

Available data shows that actual trade volumes have fallen well short of projections. Exports from India through the pass began at Rs 27.87 lakh in 2006 and grew over subsequent years, reaching a peak of approximately Rs 82.68 crore in 2016 before declining sharply following the Doklam standoff. By 2017, bilateral trade had contracted by roughly 90%, with Indian exports dropping to Rs 7.83 crore and imports to Rs 1.02 crore. Partial recovery followed, with figures returning to around Rs 40-48 crore by 2018-19, before the 2020 COVID-19 pandemic led to a complete suspension. In August 2025, following diplomatic engagement, both governments agreed to resume trade through Nathu La, Shipki La, and Lipulekh passes (The Tribune 2025).

India permits exports of approximately 36 specified commodities, including agricultural implements, blankets, copper products, tea, coffee, spices, and select processed goods. Permitted imports number around 20 categories, primarily wool, raw silk, yak products, carpets, and herbal medicines. There have been only marginal revisions since the lists were originally drawn up (India, Ministry of External Affairs 2003).

Per-trader transaction ceilings, initially set at Rs 25,000 in 2006 and revised upward over time, have not kept pace with inflation and logistics costs. The effective trading season runs from May to November, with operations further confined to designated days of the week. These parameters, taken together, define a highly constrained trade environment.

It should be noted that the gap between projections and outcomes does not, by itself, demonstrate policy failure. Projections are inherently uncertain, and some forecasts for Nathu La were made under optimistic assumptions about diplomatic conditions that did not hold. However, the pattern of chronic underperformance across multiple years and the sharp responsiveness of trade volumes to policy signals rather than market conditions suggest that regulatory architecture has been a significant constraint.

3. The Political Economy of State-Imposed Trade Restrictions

3.1 Commodity List Restrictions

The positive-list regime governing Nathu La trade is among its most significant constraints. These lists were established in the early years after reopening and have been revised only marginally since. They exclude the vast majority of goods that characterise contemporary trade between neighbouring countries: electronics, machinery, consumer durables, pharmaceuticals, and value-added manufactures are all absent.

From a market perspective, this presents an obvious problem. The goods permitted for trade reflect administrative judgments made decades ago, rather than current patterns of comparative advantage or consumer demand. The Hayekian knowledge problem is directly relevant here: the information required to identify which goods would be most beneficially traded between Sikkimese and Tibetan communities is dispersed among traders, consumers, and producers on both sides of the border, not available to planners in New Delhi or Beijing. Fixing commodity lists by bureaucratic decision forecloses the process by which markets discover what is actually worth trading (Hayek 1945).

At the same time, it is worth acknowledging the logic behind positive-list regimes in this context. Border trade with China carries strategic sensitivities that do not apply to most trade relationships. Both governments have used the commodity lists as instruments of diplomatic signalling, restricting or expanding them in response to the broader bilateral relationship. From a security standpoint, maintaining control over what flows across a militarised border is not simply bureaucratic overreach but a reflection of genuine state interests. The question is whether the current lists are calibrated appropriately to actual risks, or whether they have become more restrictive than security considerations require.

3.2 Licensing and Procedural Requirements

Beyond commodity restrictions, the regulatory framework imposes significant transaction costs through licensing requirements and procedural demands. Traders must obtain clearances from multiple government departments, including the Directorate General of Foreign Trade, customs authorities, state commerce departments, and bilateral oversight mechanisms. Compliance with sanitary, phytosanitary, and quality certification requirements adds further burden.

These requirements fall disproportionately on small and medium-scale operators, who constitute the primary trading community in the Sikkim border region. Larger traders with established operations and professional support can absorb compliance costs more easily than individual traders or small family businesses. The effect is to raise entry barriers and limit participation to a relatively narrow group of established operators, which reduces competition and suppresses the breadth of goods traded.

Public choice theory offers a useful lens here. Licensing regimes create opportunities for bureaucratic discretion and, in some contexts, rent-seeking behaviour. Where approvals are required from multiple agencies, there are incentives for those agencies to maintain complexity. These dynamics are not specific to Nathu La but are a general feature of heavily regulated border trade environments (Gallien 2020).

3.3 Temporal and Operational Constraints

Trade through Nathu La is officially restricted to the May-November period, with further limitations on operating days within that window. While harsh winter conditions at 4,310 metres do impose genuine physical constraints, the trading calendar appears to be more restrictive than climatic conditions alone would require. The designation of specific trading days, typically four per month in some periods, reduces the effective window for commercial activity to a small fraction of even the operational season.

These constraints have practical consequences. Traders cannot maintain continuous commercial relationships or adjust quickly to price signals or demand shifts. Seasonal scarcity increases price volatility. The unpredictability of the trading window, which can shift in response to diplomatic conditions rather than market or climatic factors, discourages the longer-term investment required to develop more sophisticated trade operations.

3.4 Infrastructure

The asymmetry in infrastructure on the two sides of the border has been well documented. The Chinese side of the pass is connected to a multi-lane highway leading into the Chumbi Valley, while the Indian approach remains a narrow, winding single-lane road subject to monsoon damage and difficult to navigate under load (Observer Research Foundation 2025). Warehousing, cold-chain logistics, and connectivity to broader transport networks are limited on the Indian side.

This infrastructure gap cannot be attributed solely to state failure. Investment in high-altitude road infrastructure in a seismically active zone is expensive and technically demanding. The Indian government has steadily expanded infrastructure in Sikkim, including the Rangpo-Gangtok rail link currently under development, but progress is slow. The infrastructure asymmetry also reflects different levels of government commitment: China has invested heavily in Tibet's connectivity as part of its broader strategic priorities, while Indian investment in Sikkim's border infrastructure has been more incremental.

4. The Informal Economy: Spontaneous Order Amidst State Restrictions

4.1 Conceptual Framework

The informal economy encompasses economic activities that occur outside official regulatory frameworks without necessarily being inherently criminal. In border regions, informal trade often emerges in response to regulatory constraints: when formal channels are blocked or costly, participants find alternative arrangements. The Nathu La corridor appears to exhibit this pattern, though the available evidence is indirect and must be interpreted cautiously.

Friedrich Hayek's concept of spontaneous order provides a useful analytical frame (Hayek 1945). Markets coordinate dispersed information through price signals, trust-based networks, and emergent commercial norms without requiring central direction. When formal institutions constrain or prohibit market activity, the underlying propensity for voluntary exchange does not disappear but adapts to circumvent barriers. This adaptation is not necessarily efficient in the aggregate, since it involves the costs and risks of operating outside official channels, but it demonstrates the resilience of voluntary cooperation.

It is important to distinguish between different types of informal activity. At one end of the spectrum are benign low-level exchanges: unregistered personal transactions, small-scale barter, or informal carry-trade in goods that happen not to be on the approved commodity list but are entirely legal in themselves. At the other end is genuine smuggling of contraband. Available evidence suggests that informal trade at Nathu La is concentrated toward the benign end of this spectrum, driven primarily by the restrictiveness of the commodity lists rather than by criminal intent (Lachenpa 2025).

4.2 Available Evidence on Informal Trade

Direct data on informal trade at Nathu La does not exist, by definition: participants avoid documentation. The evidence available is indirect, anecdotal, and scattered. It should be treated as suggestive rather than definitive, and the claims in this section are accordingly qualified.

Several indirect indicators point to significant informal activity alongside the formal regime. Statistical anomalies in official trade data, including years where exports recorded from one side do not correspond to imports recorded on the other, suggest unrecorded flows that official channels do not capture. Anecdotal accounts from border communities in Sikkim reference informal transactions, barter arrangements, and small-scale exchanges that supplement formal trade (Lachenpa 2025). Customs officials and local observers have acknowledged informal activity in goods outside the approved lists, though systematic documentation of the scale or composition is not available.

Goods commonly cited in informal accounts include Chinese consumer electronics, household appliances, textiles, and processed foods flowing into Sikkim, while Indian tea, spices, processed foods, and locally-produced items are reported to move in the opposite direction. These goods reflect genuine demand patterns that the formal commodity lists do not accommodate. It is precisely the gap between what is available through official channels and what consumers on both sides want that creates the incentive for informal trade.

Kinship networks spanning the Sikkim-Tibet border play a role in facilitating low-visibility exchanges. Long-standing family and community ties provide the trust infrastructure needed for transactions that cannot rely on formal legal enforcement (Lachenpa 2025). This is itself an example of spontaneous order at work: social capital accumulated over generations substitutes for formal institutions where those institutions are absent or inaccessible.

4.3 Limitations of the Libertarian Framing for Informal Trade

The libertarian argument that informal trade represents fundamentally legitimate economic activity that has been artificially criminalised by unjust regulation captures something important about the Nathu La case. Many of the goods traded informally are legal in both countries; the violation lies in crossing the border without authorisation. When the formal commodity list excludes items for which there is genuine demand, informal trade fills a function that the regulatory system has blocked.

However, this framing has limits. Border control serves functions beyond commerce: it is also a mechanism for managing security risks, preventing the movement of sanctioned goods, maintaining customs revenue, and implementing diplomatic commitments. In a border zone as sensitive as the Sikkim-Tibet frontier, the argument that all cross-border trade restrictions are unjust impositions on voluntary exchange overstates the case. The relevant question is not whether any restrictions are legitimate but which restrictions are proportionate to actual risks and which have become excessive relative to the purposes they serve.

There is also an empirical question about the welfare effects of informal trade on the communities involved. Informal trade lacks consumer protections, quality assurance, standardised weights and measures, and legal recourse in case of dispute. For small traders operating in an informal economy, these absences create vulnerabilities that formal trade frameworks mitigate. The costs of informality are real even if the trade itself reflects genuine economic activity.

5. The Case for Regulatory Reform: Principles and Constraints

5.1 The Libertarian Argument for Reform

The core libertarian case for trade liberalisation at Nathu La is straightforward. Voluntary exchange between consenting parties with their own property generates mutual benefit; interference with such exchange reduces welfare without corresponding justification (Rothbard 1982). The commodity list regime prevents willing buyers and sellers on both sides of the border from transacting in goods that are legal in both countries and for which genuine demand exists. The licensing and procedural requirements impose transaction costs that fall disproportionately on smaller traders. The cumulative effect is to suppress trade significantly below the level that voluntary exchange would produce.

The Hayekian knowledge argument strengthens the case. No central authority can access the dispersed information about local conditions, demand patterns, and comparative advantages that would be required to set optimal commodity lists. Bureaucrats in New Delhi or Beijing deciding which goods Sikkimese and Tibetan traders should exchange cannot know what traders and consumers know about their own circumstances. Expanding the space for market determination of what is traded, and how much, would allow this dispersed knowledge to be put to better use.

These arguments support expanding the permitted commodity list substantially, simplifying licensing procedures, extending the trading season to match actual climatic constraints, and reducing per-trader transaction limits that have not kept pace with inflation.

5.2 Security and Diplomatic Constraints

The libertarian case for reform runs directly against the security imperatives that have shaped India-China border trade policy. These imperatives are not trivial. The India-China border is disputed along its entire length; the two countries have fought a war within living memory and engaged in serious military stand-offs as recently as 2020. In this context, the Indian state's caution about what flows across the border reflects genuine strategic concerns rather than simple regulatory excess.

There are specific categories of goods where security arguments for restriction are credible. Dual-use technologies, materials that could support military logistics, and goods subject to international sanctions regimes represent cases where trade restrictions serve legitimate purposes that libertarian analysis cannot dismiss. Beyond specific categories, there is a broader argument that maintaining some control over cross-border flows in a contested border zone is part of managing the relationship between the two states, not merely a failure of policy.

This has practical implications for what reform can realistically achieve. The commodity list cannot simply be abolished and replaced with free trade. Expanding it to include high-demand consumer goods, contemporary manufactures, and agricultural products that pose no security risk is achievable and desirable. But the expansion will be negotiated between two governments with competing interests, implemented in a security-sensitive environment, and constrained by the diplomatic relationship at any given moment. Treating this as a straightforward liberalisation exercise misreads the context.

5.3 State Capacity and Implementation

Regulatory reform at Nathu La also faces state capacity constraints that the libertarian framework tends to underemphasise. Even where both governments agree in principle to expand trade, implementation depends on adequate customs infrastructure, trained personnel, reliable logistics, and functioning dispute resolution mechanisms. Where state capacity is limited, rapid liberalisation can produce outcomes that differ substantially from what markets would generate in well-governed environments.

The infrastructure gap between the two sides of the border is a concrete example of this problem. On the Indian side, the combination of challenging terrain, limited investment, and logistical constraints means that the practical capacity to handle significantly expanded trade volumes does not currently exist. Regulatory reform that outpaces infrastructure development may produce frustration rather than expanded trade.

This does not argue against reform but suggests that it be sequenced: regulatory simplification alongside infrastructure investment rather than ahead of it. Single-window clearance mechanisms, expanded warehousing at Sherathang, improved road connectivity, and enhanced customs staffing are prerequisites for realising the benefits of an expanded commodity list.

5.4 Practical Reform Priorities

The following reform directions follow from the analysis above. They are presented as priorities rather than a complete programme, reflecting the view that reform is a process requiring negotiation and implementation rather than a single decision.

- Commodity list expansion: The current lists should be reviewed systematically to identify goods that could be added without security implications. Consumer goods, contemporary manufactured items, agricultural products, and processed foods are the obvious candidates. A negative-list approach, permitting all goods not specifically excluded on security or environmental grounds, would be more efficient than the current positive-list approach but would require substantial bilateral negotiation to implement.
- Procedural simplification: Single-window clearance, automatic approval for low-value or low-risk transactions, and streamlined certification requirements would reduce transaction costs disproportionately for small traders. This type of reform does not require changes to the commodity list and could proceed more quickly.
- Seasonal and operational flexibility: The trading calendar should be expanded to match actual climatic constraints rather than administrative convention. Year-round trade where

conditions permit, with trader-led scheduling for specific days, would better serve commercial needs.

- Infrastructure investment: Continued investment in road infrastructure, warehousing, and logistics on the Indian side of the border is necessary to realise the benefits of regulatory reform. Public investment in infrastructure is consistent with libertarian analysis where private provision is not feasible, as in remote high-altitude zones with limited commercial traffic.
- Bilateral dialogue on the commodity framework: The commodity lists are a bilateral agreement; expanding them requires negotiation with China. India should make this a priority in border trade discussions, identifying specific categories for expansion and linking them to broader confidence-building frameworks where appropriate.

6. Conclusion

Nathu La Pass represents an instance where geography, history, and regulatory design have produced outcomes well below what the combination of geographic proximity and historical trade relationships might have generated. Formal trade volumes have chronically underperformed projections, informal trade has emerged to fill some of the gap, and the corridor has remained more symbol than substance for nearly two decades since its reopening.

The analysis in this paper points to regulatory architecture as a primary constraint. Narrow commodity lists, burdensome licensing requirements, compressed trading seasons, and infrastructure gaps have together suppressed formal trade and pushed activity into informal channels. These conclusions align with libertarian political economy's emphasis on the costs of regulatory restriction and the resilience of voluntary exchange.

At the same time, this paper has tried to situate that analysis within the realities of the India-China border context. The security dimensions of Nathu La trade are genuine, not merely pretexts for protectionism. The diplomatic relationship between India and China shapes what is negotiable at any given moment. State capacity constraints affect what reforms can be implemented and how quickly. These factors do not make the case for reform weaker, but they shape what reform can realistically look like.

The 2025 resumption of trade provides an opportunity. The most achievable near-term priorities are procedural simplification, selective commodity list expansion in non-sensitive categories, and continued infrastructure investment on the Indian side. These reforms would expand formal trade, reduce the incentive for informal activity, and improve outcomes for border communities in Sikkim without requiring resolution of the deeper bilateral issues that constrain more fundamental liberalisation.

This paper has relied primarily on published data, policy documents, and secondary sources. The informal economy section in particular rests on indirect evidence rather than direct empirical documentation, which reflects the inherent constraints on research in this area rather than a deliberate methodological choice. Further field-based research, including systematic interviews with border traders and customs officials, would substantially strengthen the empirical basis for claims about informal trade patterns and their determinants. This is identified as a priority for future research.

References

Chettri, Pramesh. 2018. "India-China Border Trade Through Nathu La Pass: Prospects and Impediments." HIMALAYA 38, no. 1: 11-22.

Gallien, Max. 2020. "Informal Institutions and the Regulation of Smuggling in North Africa." Perspectives on Politics 18, no. 2: 492-508.

Hayek, Friedrich A. 1945. "The Use of Knowledge in Society." American Economic Review 35, no. 4: 519-30.

Hoppe, Hans-Hermann. 1998. "The Case for Free Trade and Restricted Immigration." Journal of Libertarian Studies 13, no. 2: 221-33.

India, Ministry of External Affairs. 2003. Memorandum Between the Government of the Republic of India and the Government of the People's Republic of China on Expanding Border Trade. New Delhi: Government of India.

India, Ministry of Commerce and Industry. 2012. Revised Commodity Lists for Border Trade at Nathu La. New Delhi: Government of India.

Lachenpa, Sangay. 2025. "Beyond Confidence Building Measures (CBMs): Nathula Cross-Border Trade from the Perspective of the Border Traders." Issue Brief No. 20. Centre of Excellence for Himalayan Studies, Shiv Nadar University, Delhi NCR.

Lama, Mahendra P. 2005. "Nathu La Trade Study Group Report: Projections and Framework for Border Trade." Gangtok: Government of Sikkim.

Mehra, Malini. 2007. "Reviving the Silk Route: India-China Border Trade Through Nathu La." South Asian Survey 14, no. 1: 65-82.

Observer Research Foundation. 2025. "Sikkim's Slow Ascent: Trade, Terrain, and the Challenge of Connectivity." ORF Online, August 8, 2025. https://www.orfonline.org/expert-speak/sikkim-s-slow-ascent-trade-terrain-and-the-challenge-of-connectivity.

Rothbard, Murray N. 1982. The Ethics of Liberty. Atlantic Highlands, NJ: Humanities Press.

Taneja, Nisha, and Sanjeev Pohit. 2003. "India's Informal Trade with Nepal and Bhutan." Economic and Political Weekly 38, no. 45: 4771-77.

The Tribune. 2025. "India, China Agree to Resume Border Trade via Shipki-La, Nathu-La." August 24, 2025. https://www.tribuneindia.com/news/himachal/india-china-agree-to-resume-border-trade-via-shipki-la-nathu-la.

Tiwari, Shankar. 2019. "India-China Border Trade: Challenges and Opportunities." Indian Foreign Affairs Journal 14, no. 2: 145-58.